

A Review on Smart Object Based on IOT

Prof. Rasika S. Badre

Prof. Shruti G. Taley

Prof. Prachi N. Deshmukh

Abstract- Nowadays we live in a world, which a period ago would only be described in the science fiction literature. Day by day things become smart and both scientists and engineers struggle for developing not only new and innovative devices, but also homes, factories, or even cities. Despite of non-stop development, many of those concepts are still being just a vision of the future, which still desires a lot of effort to become true. This paper reviews the usage of adjective smart in respect to technology and with a special emphasis on the smart factory concept placement among modern studies. Due to an absence of a consensus of common understanding of this term, a unified definition is proposed. The conceptualization will not only refer to different smart factory visions reported in the literature, but also link the fundamental characteristics of this emerging manufacturing concept to usual manufacturing practice. Subsequently, the authors discuss the challenges of the potential smart factory applications in SMEs, and also propose the future research viewpoint in order to further develop the smart factory concept.

Keywords- Smart Factory; U-Factory; Real-Time Factory; Manufacturing of the Future; SMEs; adaptive; flexible; automation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Currently people are surrounded by several things that are called smart. Nearly everybody has a smartphone, some people have smart homes [16], which are related to smart grids [1]. South Korea's government in collaboration with the local industry has even launched the project to form the smart city [6]. In order to produce those large smart systems, smart devices [28] have been used. The label smart (and interchangeably used – intelligent) looks to be abused in many different contexts, because its meaning with favours to objects is yet not clearly defined. Smart, in some contexts, refers to an independent device, which usually consists of following: a sensor, and/or an actuator, a microcomputer and a transceiver [24]. Nevertheless, adjective smart is also normally used to characterize an object that was improved by implementation of further features, which introduce multiplatform communication and increase its computational abilities. The intelligence of such device can be exposed by cooperation in a network of other smart devices, which have the ability to check the system state updates and decide whether to act on them or does not act on system [24]. What is not surprising,

such a network is called a smart network [24]. One can also find a reference to smart objects, as items having the ability to store the link related data as well as it may offer access to it for a human or machine user [28]. There are also smart products, which are equipped with the memory understood as a sort of product. [28]. In the case of homes, smart is commonly used as a synonym of excessively automated [27] [24]. It can also refer to homes with systems for monitoring and controlling the appliances [1]. Now, what is important, a monitoring function could not be limited to turning devices on and off; devices involved in a smart home should be able to operate semi-autonomously conferring to the predefined patterns or user requirements [27]. The overuse of the adjective smart is frequently faced. Like, some scholars write about smart radio-frequency identification (RFID) tags [3]. Even though RFID tags observe with a number of above mentioned definitions of smart devices, those are characteristic properties of each RFID tag, so adding a RFID tag label smart is a misuse (due to the lack of enhancement of a basic product). It has been observed, that scholars have started to use the term smart factories in describing their apparitions of future manufacturing. Nevertheless, there is no agreement about a clear definition of what smart means in respect to manufacturing facility. That's why, the motivation of this paper is to survey a considerable literature and summarize the smart factory concepts from a combination of altered literature streams in order to simplify the term and develop a uniform definition that will contribute to the future research within this area.

II. SMART FACTORY-VARIOUS VISIONS OF A CONCEPT

The term smart factory is used by both industrial practitioners and scholars, but there is no consistent definition for smart factory. There are some other terms used interchangeably: a U-Factory (ubiquitous factory) [15], a factory-of-things [7], a real-time factory [9], or an intelligent factory of the future [3]. Smart factory refers as a technology [4], an approach [9] [7], or a paradigm [15].

2.1. A conceptual framework of the Ubiquitous Factory

Yoon et al. [15], have expanded a conceptual framework based on the product design, recycling and manufacturing, via so called

ubiquitous computing technology. Conferring to them, the conventional manufacturing examples, such as flexible-, lean-,

the Weiser's vision of ubiquitous computing: —...when technology recedes into the background of our lives [21]. Smart factory in Zuehlke's view is away towards a factory-of-things, which looks to be very

holonic and agile manufacturing systems are not actually promising in solving the main contemporary manufacturing problems. Examples, inaccuracy in demand forecasting or trouble with individualized production control. The authors find potential and capabilities in following the idea of Weiser [21], who introduced the concept of ubiquitous computing technology in manufacturing. The key features of U-Factory (which they signify as a synonym of smart factory) are to be: information transparency, autonomous control, as well as sustainable manufacturing. The key incomes for implementing this vision are said to be: compatibility with i.a. RFID, Ubiquitous Sensor Network or Real Time Location System (RTLS) technology [15]. According to the vision of Yoon et al., the U-Factory must be —an innovative factory combining ubiquitous computing technology as an enabler for solving problems on the shop floor with existing components [15]. Hence, they define U-Factory as —a factory system in which autonomous and sustainable manufacturing takes place by gathering, exchanging and using information transparently anywhere anytime with networked interaction between the man, machine, materials and systems, based on ubiquitous technology and manufacturing technology [15]. However, the U-Factory concept has not been understood yet. What is more, in order to progress, it is still necessary to develop the —software and hardware technology, including manufacturing technology, information technology and the ubiquitous technology, and to combine them [15]; what is in the pipeline for their upcoming research. This is where we still may be waiting for the technology, which is 5-10 years ahead may give different new possibilities to understand the smart factory vision.

2.2. Smart Factory embedded in wireless communication infrastructure

Additional vision of a factory of the future has developed as a collaborative initiative (Smart Factory KL, Technology Initiative) of academic (German Research Center for Artificial Intelligence DFKI) and industrial (Siemens, Bosch, BASF and Endress – Hauser among the others) partners in Kaiserslautern, Germany. It was presented by Detlef Zuehlke on the pages of Annual Reviews in Control [9]. The presented aims were: —the application, development, distribution of innovative, industrial plant technologies and generate the foundation for their well-known use in research and practice [9]. Zuehlke, realistically points out that in the recent stage of technological development we are still far away from

much aligned with Internet-of-things (IoT). The IoT in this vision is apparent as an open network of items equipped with sufficient computing and communication capabilities to give them an ability to act individually, without direct human intervention [14]. Differing to Yoon et al., Zuehlke powerfully shows the role of the conventional manufacturing paradigms, namely lean technologies, which helps upcoming smart technologies, should lift manufacturing systems into additional advanced level. Requirements for the smart factory described by Zuehlke are: a degree of intelligence embedded in all, even very small, coupled devices, while some of the main functionalities should be provided by RFID technology. A smart factory should not only have a modular structure, but also be interconnected by the wireless network, where every single device could have its own IP (Internet Protocol) address [9]. Zuehlke specifies few very important challenges of this unrealistic system - lack of a main standardized protocol and compatibility to make devices simply work together, and regulations allowing process control. Furthermore, before all those devices will organize to create a smart factory, their safety and reliability desires to be methodically tested. The next generation of the manufacturing is also studied at the University of Stuttgart where, based on Weiser's smart environment method [21], Lucke et al. [7] tried to interrelate a physical (i.e. position of a tool) and a digital world (i.e. electronic documents) [7]. They can define a smart factory as —a factory that context-aware supports people and machines in execution of their tasks [...] by systems working in background, so-called Calm systems and context-aware applications. [7]. Context-awareness mentions to knowledge of position and status of objects of interest, where so-called calm-systems are its hardware and context-aware applications are the software [7]. In their opinion, the key features of such system should be: the ability to enter into a real-time communication and interaction with its smart environment, where the appropriate manufacturing information is decentralized. In their opinion wireless communication is also essential; consequently Wi-Fi, Bluetooth, WIRELESS or ZIGBEE could be used. Authors have already developed software to be applied in smart factory: the Nexus Platform [7]. Elements used to create a smart factory should set the main motivation on the execution, maintenance, and education functions of the manufacturing enterprise [7].

2.3. *Globalized Factory*

A somewhat different vision of a smartfactory is delivered by Hadar and Bilberg [14], who propose a decentralized supply of chain setup. In this case they can focus more on the factory function and does not define its real design. Instead of building rare, centralized factories, which would be a part of the global

supply chain they suggest a local focus setup where a set of intelligent facilities- reconfigurable smart factories- would be able to absolutely supply a predefined area of market [14]. The authors examine manufacturing challenges in Western Europe, their research focuses on global Danish companies like LINAK, STRECON, or LEGO, which face problems associated to globalization and fragmentation of production, which rise supply chain complexity [15]. A globalized approach, which they propose can be applied by large companies that not only operate in global markets, but it also have so many suppliers in different part of the globe [14]. On a supply chain level smart factories are characterized as self-sufficient facilities, which source the raw materials from the local suppliers. Those local partners and alliances should help in reduction lead time, minimize inventory and at the same time increase customization and responsiveness of the supply chain, due to proximity both to suppliers and customers.

III. SMART FACTORY-A MEANING AND CONCEPTUALIZATION

All above mentioned concepts and visions are very capable prospects of upcoming technological improvement. Nevertheless, even though both engineers and researchers are constantly functioning on those concepts, it still leaves just a vision. Regardless of all participation and success stories there is a long and twisting road to go and multidimensional difficulty to solve before we will move the vision of the smart factory into the reality. Zuehlke estimates the progress enabling technologies for at least 5 – 10 years (from 2010) [9].

3.1. SMART FACTORY FEATURES AND CHARACTERISTICS

Previous to mentioned visions of a smartfactory are missing to provide its clear definition. It seems that they focal point too much on vague images of used technologies like ubiquitous [7] and Calm - systems or context aware applications [4], instead of as long as more general characteristics of this solution. Moreover, based on before mentioned visions, one could have an idea that (already old) Wi-Fi networks and RFID

tags seem to be fundamentals for create or execute the smartfactory effect. It sounds like a significant drawback to presented theories, mostly due to neglect the possibilities of other available technologies, underestimating future innovative solutions, and omitting other dimensions (e.g. organizational). There are many technologies which could be used in a smart factory set of contacts, but instead of going into their examine appraisal, the focus here is on functionalities that this factory

should execute. The report about the future manufacturing in Europe points out that in the future, manufacturing companies will depend even more on flexibility and low cost [13], so an excellent approach to realize both of those properties simultaneously, is to work with modules and platforms [13]. Another multidisciplinary trend, which is underlined by the Europe account [13], is cooperation across various types of borders e.g. cultural, geographical, cross-disciplinary etc. Those could increase the success rate of problem solving due to swap over of knowledge across many levels. Particularly in manufacturing field, those joint examples are still quite rare, but some have been reported in make available chain collaboration [12] and in knowledge sharing in shared engineering [5]. Scholars also propose a combination of flexible and reconfigurable manufacturing systems [12][20][26], and underline importance of alertness and leanness [13][8]. New emerging developed trend, which could be very much linked to globalized factory [23], is an adaptive or transformable developed [18][10][11].

3.2. SMART FACTORY DEFINITION

Based on an analysis of future developed literature, features that are wanted for the smartfactory would relate just before being flexible and reconfigurable, low cost, adaptive or transformable, agile and lean. One of the ways to reach some of those functionalities would be to apply modular structure with high opinion to both product/process technology and association. Therefore as for a conceptualization we would propose to a meaning as follows. A Smart Factory is a manufacturing solution that provides such flexible and adaptive production processes that will solve problems arising on a production facility through dynamic and rapidly changing boundary conditions in a world of growing density. This special solution could on the one hand be related to automation, understood as a combination of software, hardware and/or mechanics, which should lead to optimization of manufacturing resulting in reduction of unnecessary labor and misuse of resource. On the other hand, it could be seen in a viewpoint of collaboration between different industrial and

IV. SMART FACTORY-AN EXTENSION TO SMEs

Important common denominators of visions discussed at the start of the paper are large companies and big organizations, which often function in global markets. Applications of all aforementioned smart devices in smart factories endeavor at solving problems of global players. Those technologies are

believed to solve the problem of complexity of supply chains, but at the same time due to technological improvement they make the setup more complex by themselves.

4.1. SMART FACTORIES FOR SMEs

Even after recognition of so far discussed smart factory visions, would manufacturing small and medium enterprises (SMEs) be able to afford the cost of purchasing and maintenance of this solution? One of the imperative drawbacks of smart factory visions existing in the literature is the lack of concepts applicable in SMEs. Most of them have a need for automation solutions in the region of manufacturing, which could be developed and applied in order to optimize their current operations. Those solutions may not be technologically as advanced as previously planned smart factory visions, but the main characteristics would be affordability particularly in terms of potential financial investment. What is more, previously discussed solutions are in their improvement by large organizations (like Siemens) or for large organizations (like LEGO). Thus development of smart automation solutions by

SMEs for SMEs may be much more feasible than in the case when there is a disproportion of power. Similar observations were also made by Westhead and Storey [22], who point out the uncertainty of the environment as well as consistency of motivation and actions as crucial distinctive factors between large and small firms.

4.2. AUTOMATION SOLUTIONS FOR SMEs

The literature about automation solutions for SMEs is very inadequate. Wadhwa [25], basing on an action research approach, creates guidelines for flexible automation, which could progress foundries' responsiveness as well as support interaction among different collaborative partners. In a research associated to foundries as well, Ribiero et al. developed a methodology for benchmarking and got as far as to suggest the performance measurement framework. An

attempt to implement a smart factory concept in SMEs could allow for manufacturing numerous different products and at the same time, raise the degree of machine utilization, reduce in-process inventory as well as decrease response time in order to meet customer preferences. Additionally, in case of SMEs, it could also be a great help in the process of increasing their competitiveness and productivity. Manufacturing activity represents about 21% of the total EU GDP [25]. It provides approximately 20% of all jobs in industry, which are largely conquered by SMEs [25].

V. CONCLUSION

Much has been written about different smart objects, without a lucid definition of this term. What is more, misuse of the adjective smart is not uncommon. This paper puts focus on the smart label with regard to factory and expansion of the concept. Adopting a consistent definition of smart factory, and building upon it, would help to accelerate our understanding of this budding approach to future manufacturing. We hope that our collection of existing smart factory visions, together with grounding it in the traditional manufacturing theories, will help us move in this direction as a community of scholars. Thus far the smart factory is just a great exposure of future developments in manufacturing facilities. The concept still needs to progress before fully attaining its practical application in an industrial production set up. In terms of solutions for large companies, most of the technologies are not yet full-grown to serve the realization of smart future manufacturing vision. As for research focused on SMEs in the field of automation and manufacturing, due to a limited attention given to this group as well as partial understanding of their future production prospects, new research would be essential to fill up this gap.

REFERENCES

1. Al-Ali, A. El-Hag, R. Dhaouadi, and A. Zainaldain, Smart home gateway for smart grid, in International Conference on Innovations in Information Technology (IIT), 2011, pp.90–93.
2. Bilberg and R. Hadar, Adaptable and Reconfigurable LEAN Automation - a competitive solution in the western industry, in FAIM 2012 22nd International Conference on Flexible Automation and Intelligent Manufacturing, Helsinki, 2012.
3. B. Hameed, F. Durr, and K. Rothermel, RFID based Complex Event Processing in a Smart Real-Time Factory, Expert discussion: Distributed Systems in Smart Spaces, 2011.

4. C. N. Madu, C.-H. Kuei, J. Aheto, and D. Winokur, Integrating total quality management in the adoption of new technologies, *Benchmarking for Quality Management & Technology*, vol.1, no. 3, MCB UP Ltd, pp.52–66, 1994.
5. D. Guerra-Zubiaga, L. Donato, R. Ramírez, and M. Contero, Knowledge Sharing to Support Collaborative Engineering at PLM Environment Practical Aspects of Knowledge Management, vol.4333, Reimer, Ulrich, ed. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, 2006, pp.86–96.
6. D.-H. Shin, Ubiquitous city: Urban technologies, urban infrastructure and urban informatics, *Journal of Information Science*, vol.35, no. 5, Sage Publications, pp.515–526, 2009.
7. D. Lucke, C. Constantinescu, and E. Westkämper, Smart factory-a step towards the nextgeneration of manufacturing, in *Manufacturing systems and Technologies for the New Frontier*, Springer, 2008, pp.115–118.
8. D. Towill and M. Christopher, The Supply Chain Strategy Conundrum: To be Lean Or Agile or To be Lean And Agile?, *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, vol.5, no. 3, pp.299–309, 2002.
9. D. Zuehlke, SmartFactory—towards a factoryof-things, *Annual Reviews in Control*, vol.34, no. 1, Elsevier, pp.129–138, 2010.
10. E. Abele, J. Elzenheimer, T. Liebeck, and T. Meyer, Reconfigurable Manufacturing Systems and Transformable Factories, Chapter 1:Globalization and Decentralization of Manufacturing, *World Trade*, pp.4–13, 2002.
11. E. Westkämper, Strategic development of factories under the influence of emergent technologies, *CIRP Annals-Manufacturing Technology*, vol.56, no. 1, Elsevier, pp.419–422, 2007.
12. H. A. ElMaraghy, Flexible and reconfigurable manufacturing systems paradigms, *International Journal of Flexible Manufacturing Systems*, vol.17, no. 4, Springer, pp.261–276, 2005.
13. I.Miles, M. W. ARC, and K. Flanagan, The Future of Manufacturing in Europe 2015-2020, *EUROPE*, vol.2015, p.2020, 2003.
14. J. Aitken, M. Christopher, and D. Towill, Understanding, Implementing and Exploiting Agility and Leanness, *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, vol.5, no. 1, pp.59–74, 2002.
15. J. Gubbi, R. Buyya, S. Marusic, and M. Palaniswami, Internet of Things (IoT): A vision, architectural elements, and future directions, *Future Generation Computer Systems*, Elsevier, 2013.
16. L. Jiang, D.-Y. Liu, and B. Yang, Smart home research, in *Proceedings of International Conference on Machine Learning and Cybernetics*, 2004, pp.659–663.
17. L. M. Ribeiro and J. S. Cabral, A benchmarking methodology for metal casting industry, *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, vol.13, no. 1/2, Emerald Group Publishing Limited, pp.23–35, 2006.
18. L. Wang and H.-Y. Feng, Adaptive manufacturing, *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, vol.30, no. 3, Elsevier, p.117, 2011
19. M. Cao and Q. Zhang, Supply chain collaboration: impact on collaborative advantage and firm performance, *Journal of Operations Management*, vol.29, no. 3, Elsevier, pp.163–180, 2011.
20. M. G. Mehrabi, A. G. Ulsoy, Y. Koren, and P. Heytler, Trends and perspectives in flexible and reconfigurable manufacturing systems, *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, vol.13, no. 2, Springer, pp.135–146, 2002. December 2017